

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademurk Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra. Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/799,416	03/12/2004	Carlos J. Gonzalez	SNDX.250US2	7132		
36257	7590 07/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PARSONS HSUE & DE RUNTZ LLP			TRAN, MICH.	TRAN, MICHAEL THANH		
655 MONTO SUITE 1800	GOMERY STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			2818	2818		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/799,416		GONZALEZ ET AL.				
		Examin r		Art Unit				
		Michael t Tra	<u> </u>	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on M	arch 12, 2004 thi	rough April 09, 2004	<u>1</u> .				
2a) Th	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	 ✓ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 							
Application	Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)□ The	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Ap	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			1					
Attachment(s)			My	~				
1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🛛 Informatio	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/(s)/Mail Date 0304.	08) 5) 6)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the Communications dated March 12, 2004 through April 09, 2004, claim 1 is active in this application as a result of the cancellation of claims 2-63.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements filed March 12, 2004 have been considered.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No.6,621,739 ['739]. This is a double patenting rejection.

Art Unit: 2818

Conclusion

5. When responding to the Office action, Applicants are advised to provide the Examiner with line and page numbers of the application and/or references cited to assist the Examiner in the prosecution of this case.

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1795. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00 P.M.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1650.

Michael T. Tran Art Unit 2818

July 9, 2004